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Abstract
Significant contributors to the motion of the Earth's axis over the surface of the Earth, called polar motion, are changes in the Atmospheric and Ocean Angular Momentum (AAM and OAM), particularly mass redistribution. AAM as well as OAM forecasts could significantly improve the accuracy of current polar motion predictions. To test this hypothesis, the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) Rapid Service/Prediction Center (RS/PC) investigated the possibility that reanalysis and operational data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) could be used to model polar motion. A model using forecast data was investigated for its ability to generate potentially better polar motion predictions than those currently produced by IERS RS/PC, which are based primarily on analyses of GPS satellite orbits and astronomical observations. The results and their implication for future IERS operations are provided.

Introduction
Accurate predictions of polar motion are necessary to determine the orientation of the Earth in real time. Since the 1960s, it has been known that atmospheric angular momentum excites the Earth's wobble on seasonal time scales. However, there are significant differences between observed polar motion and predictions using AAM alone. The Earth's wobble is also excited by ocean angular momentum. Combining AAM+OAM excitation data to yield polar motion predictions is the scope of this study.

Methodology
There are a number of procedures that could be used in combining the data. We describe here the procedure that was found to provide the most accurate results. A polar motion time series $\xi(t)$ was developed using the first-order differential equation $\frac{d\xi}{dt} = f(\xi(t))$, where $f(\xi) = 2\pi F_e (1 + iQ)$. Here, we used $F_e = 0.843$ cycles per year and a damping factor $Q = 175$. For each forecast day, we input the appropriate functions. Comparing the observed polar motion with the generated series provided a set of residuals that we fit with Fourier terms including annual and Chandler frequencies for $x$ and semi-annual, annual, and Chandler frequencies for $y$. The semi-annual term was not used for $x$ because it reduced the correlation with the geodetic data. The fit was extrapolated and combined with the forecast excitation functions. A linear extrapolation of the past two days of geodetic data was averaged with the above combination. An adjustment is added to the first prediction day value to ensure continuity with observations. These forecasts were combined with the IERS Bulletin A forecasts in a weighted average where the weight of the angular momentum data is 25% of the mean. We used forecast AAM+OAM for prediction days 1-7; forecast polar motion for days beyond 7 were set equal to extrapolated analysis AAM+OAM data. An adjustment was added to avoid a jump from the 7th day to 8th day prediction.

Results/Discussion
Figure 2 illustrates the comparison of each combined data set to the current IERS RS/PC polar motion forecasts, and Table 1 shows the improvement in the polar motion predictions using the new algorithm with the most accurate data set combination. Improvements on the order of 50% or better are seen to be possible.

Conclusions
Polar motion forecasts can be improved using the new algorithm described above that makes use of both AAM and OAM analysis and forecast data. The availability of OAM data limited the tested data range, and more data would be useful. Other sources of AAM and OAM data could be analyzed. Future research would benefit from having additional forecast data available for testing. You would also benefit to have OAM forecasts available in real-time. A future study may also consider including hydrological angular momentum (HAM) analysis and forecast data. Additional coding and testing under operational conditions are necessary before this method can be implemented by the RS/PC.
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Figure 1: Flow chart of methodology used in this study.